



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/700,027	11/03/2003	Samuel Barran Tafoya	0310-TAFO	3420
7590	11/15/2004		EXAMINER	
DOROTHY S. MORSE, ESQ. 515 PARK DRIVE, NW BRADENTON, FL 34209-1847			BASINGER, SHERMAN D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3617	

DATE MAILED: 11/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------------------------	-------------	---	---------------------

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER

20041110

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

1. The reply filed on October 29, 2004 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s): the reply fails to comply with the revised amendment practice effective July 30, 2003 (see below). See 37 CFR 1.111. Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be bona fide, applicant is given ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).
2. Applicant did not begin each section of an amendment document on a separate sheet in the following manner: Specification amendments (1st); claim amendments (2nd); drawing amendments (3rd) and remarks (4th). Applicant did not make the amendments to the specification by presenting a replacement paragraph or section marked up to show changes made relative to the immediate prior version. Applicant did not clearly point out which section or paragraphs were being amended. Applicant appeared to amend the BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION-OBJECTIVES AND ADVANTAGES but did not clearly state that the BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION-OBJECTIVES AND ADVANTAGES was being replaced. Also, it appears that amendments to the BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWINGS and the DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION were included with the amendments to the BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION-OBJECTIVES AND ADVANTAGES. However, applicant did not indicate that sections or paragraphs of the BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWINGS and the DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION were being replaced.
3. Note that accompanying clean versions are not required and should not be presented. Applicant filed an accompanying clean version of the BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION-OBJECTIVES AND ADVANTAGES.

Sherman Basinger
Sherman D. Basinger
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 3617
11/10/04